

## MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS DISCIPLINARY TRIBUNAL

PO Box 5249, Wellington • New Zealand Ground Floor, NZMA Building • 28 The Terrace, Wellington Telephone (04) 499 2044 • Fax (04) 499 2045 E-mail mpdt@mpdt.org.nz

**DECISION NO:** 192/00/69C

**IN THE MATTER** of the Medical Practitioners Act 1995

-AND-

IN THE MATTER of a charge laid by a Complaints

Assessment Committee pursuant to

Section 93(1)(b) of the Act against

GRAHAM KEITH PARRY

medical practitioner of Whangarei

## BEFORE THE MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS DISCIPLINARY TRIBUNAL

**TRIBUNAL:** Ms P Kapua (Chair)

Dr F E Bennett, Mrs J Courtney, Dr R S J Gellalty, Dr A D Stewart

(Members)

Ms K G Davenport (Legal Assessor)

Ms G J Fraser (Secretary)

Mrs G Rogers (Stenographer)

2

Hearing held at Whangarei on Tuesday 16 and Wednesday 17 October

2001

**APPEARANCES:** Ms K P McDonald QC for a Complaints Assessment Committee ("the

CAC")

Mr A H Waalkens for Dr G K Parry.

**Supplementary Decision** 

1. In its decision 179/00/69C dated 16<sup>th</sup> November 2001 this Tribunal found Dr Parry guilty of

conduct unbecoming a medical practitioner in his care and treatment of Mrs Marinkovich and

that conduct reflects adversely on his fitness to practise medicine. In keeping with its usual

practice, this decision should be read in conjunction with that decision ("the substantive

decision").

2. The finding of conduct unbecoming was based on a determination that Dr Parry's lack of

action regarding post operative management fell short of his professional obligations and

acceptable standards.

3. It is acknowledged that the finding was at the lesser level of conduct unbecoming. It is also

acknowledged that the internal management of Whangarei hospital in 1996 contributed to the

situation that resulted in the complaint against Dr Parry. The internal changes at Whangarei

Hospital that have subsequently been made have hopefully gone some considerable way to

addressing those issues. In spite of that, the Tribunal found Dr Parry's failure to ensure that

the doctors and/or nurses in the Whangarei Hospital Obstetric team on duty after Mrs

Marinkovich underwent a caesarean section, appropriately monitored and reported to him the

progress of the disease process that had necessitated an emergency caesarean section, was

3

an omission that fell short of acceptable standards and in the Tribunal's view constituted

conduct unbecoming a medical practitioner and that conduct reflects adversely on the

practitioner's fitness to practise.

4. The Tribunal has received submissions on penalty from Counsel for the Complaints

Assessment Committee and Counsel for Dr Parry. Submissions on behalf of the Complaints

Assessment Committee sought censure, costs order and conditions aimed at ensuring that Dr

Parry practises in a safe manner. Counsel for Dr Parry submits that the circumstances of the

case, in particular the systemic errors, are such that no penalty should be imposed. He also

argues that a fine or order of costs is not appropriate based on Dr Parry's financial situation.

5. Having taken the submissions made to it into account, it is the Tribunal's view that given the

systemic issues that contributed to the situation and the present conditions that Dr Parry is

required to comply with in terms of any future practice, there should be no additional penalty

specifically imposed in respect of this finding. However, given the structure of the hearing

requested by the defence and in particular Dr Parry's desire to have each charge heard

separately, the Tribunal considers it appropriate to require Dr Parry to pay 25% of the costs

and expenses of the prosecution and hearing by the Tribunal of this charge. The Secretary of

the Tribunal will forward a schedule detailing the amount Dr Parry is required to pay in

accordance with this decision.

**DATED** at Auckland this 11<sup>th</sup> day of March 2002

.....

P Kapua

Deputy Chair

Medical Practitioners Disciplinary Tribunal