

MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS DISCIPLINARY TRIBUNAL

PO Box 5249, Wellington • New Zealand Ground Floor, NZMA Building • 28 The Terrace, Wellington Telephone (04) 499 2044 • Fax (04) 499 2045 E-mail mpdt@mpdt.org.nz

DECISION NO: 205/02/91D

IN THE MATTER of the Medical Practitioners Act

1995

-AND-

IN THE MATTER of a charge laid by the Director of

Proceedings pursuant to Section 102

of the Act against THOMAS

NIGEL ELLISON medical

practitioner of Raglan

BEFORE THE MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS DISCIPLINARY TRIBUNAL

TRIBUNAL: Mrs W N Brandon (Chair)

Dr L Henneveld, Dr M G Laney, Dr U Manukulasuriya,

Mr G Searancke (Members)

Ms G J Fraser (Secretary)

Ms N Wetti (Stenographer)

2

Hearing held at Hamilton on Wednesday 12 June 2002

APPEARANCES:

Ms T Baker for the Director of Proceedings

Mr A H Waalkens for Dr T N Ellison.

The Charge

1. Pursuant to Sections 102 and 109 of the Medical Practitioners Act 1995 ("the Act"), the

Director of Proceedings ("the Director") charged that between 19 March 1998 and 20

June 1998 whilst providing medical services to his patient, David Morgan, Dr Ellison acted

in such a way that amounted to conduct unbecoming a medical practitioner, and that that

conduct reflects adversely on his fitness to practise medicine.

2. The particulars of the charge alleged that Dr Ellison had failed to carry out certain blood

tests and/or to refer Mr Morgan to an appropriate specialist for advice following some

abnormal blood test results.

The Hearing

3. The Tribunal convened to hear the charge at Hamilton on Wednesday 12 June 2002.

4. However, at the commencement of the hearing Ms Baker, on behalf of the Director of

Proceedings, advised that Mr Morgan had made himself unavailable to attend at the

hearing and the Director was therefore unable to proceed. In the circumstances,

Ms Baker advised the Tribunal that the Director did not consider it appropriate to seek an

adjournment or to subpoena Mr Morgan and sought leave to withdraw the charge.

5. For Dr Ellison, Mr Waalkens consented to the withdrawal of the charge and confirmed

that the Director and Ms Baker had apologised to Dr Ellison for the inconvenience and any

distress that might have been caused to him and to his family.

3

6. Ms Baker also sought suppression of the reasons given by Mr Morgan for his non-

attendance at the hearing, and Mr Waalkens advised that he did not object to any such

orders being made.

7. The Tribunal accordingly adjourned to consider Ms Baker's applications and determined

that the charge should be dismissed. In relation to the application for suppression sought

by Ms Baker, the Tribunal advised Counsel that, in the normal course, it would not be

inclined to make the orders sought however, in the absence of any objection, the Tribunal

would make the orders by consent.

Orders

8. Accordingly, the Tribunal orders as follows:

(i) The charge of conduct unbecoming a medical practitioner and that conduct reflects

adversely on his fitness to practise medicine is dismissed;

(ii) The complainant's reasons for failing to attend the hearing are not to be published.

DATED at Wellington this 25th day of June 2002

.....

W N Brandon

Chair

Medical Practitioners Disciplinary Tribunal