Medical Practitioners Disciplinary Tribunal

PO Box 5249 Wellington Telephone (04) 499-2044 Facsimile (04) 499-2045 All Correspondence should be addressed to The Secretary

PLEASE NOTE: DECISION NO: 84/99/44D

NAME OF PATIENT AND

IN THE MATTER of the Medical Practitioners

RESPONDENT DOCTOR Act 1995

OR ANY PARTICULARS

OF THEIR AFFAIRS NOT -AND-

FOR PUBLICATION

IN THE MATTER of a charge laid by the

Director of Proceedings

pursuant to Section 102 of

the Act against A medical

practitioner of xx

BEFORE THE MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS DISCIPLINARY TRIBUNAL

TRIBUNAL: Mr P J Cartwright (Chair)

Dr A M C McCoy, Mr G Searancke, Dr A F N Sutherland,

Dr L F Wilson (Members)

Mr B A Corkill (Legal Assessor)

Ms G J Fraser (Secretary)

Mrs G Rogers (Stenographer)

Hearing held at Auckland on Monday 14 June 1999 and hearing by teleconference on Monday 26 July 1999.

APPEARANCES: Ms T W Davis, Director of Proceedings

Mr C W James for Dr A.

1. INTERIM DECISION:

- 1.1 AT the hearing in Auckland on 14 June 1999 Dr xx faced a charged that on or about 23 August 1997 while treating a patient, he acted in such a way that amounted to disgraceful conduct in a professional respect, in that he provided services of an inadequate and inappropriate professional standard. Particularised the charge states that Dr xx:
 - (1) Failed to inform his patient that he intended to examine her breasts; and/or
 - (2) Failed to obtain his patient's informed consent for a breast examination; and/or
 - (3) Touched his patient's breasts in an inappropriate manner; and/or
 - (4) Undertook a clinically inadequate breast examination of his patient.
- 1.2 THE hearing in Auckland concluded part-heard. Subsequently written submissions have been received from counsel together with directions in writing from the Legal Assessor, Mr Corkill.
 The Tribunal completed its deliberations at the teleconference on 26 July 1999.
- **1.3 THIS** Interim Decision issues now to ensure a minimum of continuing uncertainty between date of the hearing and date of issue of a reasoned Decision.

3

1.4 IT is the unanimous determination of the Tribunal that the charge against Dr xx be upheld at the

level of conduct unbecoming which reflects adversely on fitness to practise medicine.

1.5 IN Decision No. 77/99/44D the Tribunal made an Interim Order that publication of Dr xx's name

be prohibited pending the determination and findings of the Tribunal, or further order, or orders,

of the Tribunal.

1.6 IT is now further ordered that interim suppression of Dr xx's name shall extend until the Tribunal

has issued its written Decision on penalties. In this regard written submissions are requested of

counsel. Ms Davis is required to file her submissions not later than ten working days from receipt

of the reasoned Decision referred to in paragraph 1.3 above. Mr James is then required to file

his submissions in reply within a further ten working days.

DATED at Auckland this 29th day of July 1999

.....

P J Cartwright

Chair

Medical Practitioners Disciplinary Tribunal